

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_	APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	•
	10/786,893	02	2/24/2004	Jan K. Voda	29330.2	1262	•
	28075	7590	12/15/2006		EXAM	INER	•
	CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC				SMITH, PAUL B		_
	SUITE 800		NOE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	•
	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420				3763		•

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Ni						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/786,893	VODA, JAN K.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Paul B. Smith	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 S</u>	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 September 2006</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the application	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement	•					
are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	tor the certified copies not receive	su.					
Attachment(s)		•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/20/2006.	6) Other:	,					

Application/Control Number: 10/786,893 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 10/20/2006 is acknowledged. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner considers the references cited therein.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-65 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,893

Art Unit: 3763

5. Claims 1-65 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voda (474).

Page 3

Voda discloses a steerable catheter comprising a proximal shaft, a distal shaft, a 6. support section, and an ostium entry section. Wherein the ostium entry section comprises a first segment connected to the support section such that the first segment is initially disposed at a first angle from the support section and at a second angle from the plane of the support section and a second segment connected to the first segment such that the second segment is initially disposed at a third angle from the first segment and at a fourth angle from a plane defined by the first segment and at least a portion of the support section. The first angle and second angle are taught to be within the range of about 80° to 160° and 130° to 180° respectively. The third angle and fourth angle are taught to be within the range of about 100° to 170° and 0° to 60° respectively. The support section comprises a transition segment and an abutment segment. The transition segment is disposed at one initial angle with the proximal shaft of between about 135° and about 175° and at one initial angle with the abutment segment of between about 135° and about 175° and wherein the transition segment is disposed at another initial angle with the proximal shaft of between about 140° and about 180° and at another initial angle with the abutment segment of between about 140° and about 180°. The transition segment has a length between about 20 millimeters and about 80 millimeters. The abutment segment has a length between about 10 millimeters and about 40 millimeters. The first segment has a length between of about 10 millimeters

Application/Control Number: 10/786,893 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

and about 40 millimeters. The second segment has a length between of about 10 millimeters and about 40 millimeters. (See Figure 1-3)

- 7. Voda fails to specifically disclose a second segment that extends back towards a sagital plane and an abutment section that abuts a plane formed by a tangent of an axis of the first segment.
- 8. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify as claimed as a mere design choice lacking any criticality of degree as being merely preferable for the intended target area depending on the position of the target area within the right coronary artery where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art

Conclusion

- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul B. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-6022. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am 4 pm.
- 10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/786,893 Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul B Smith Examiner Art Unit 3763

PBS December 6, 2006